UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,613	02/21/2002	Masatoshi Katayama	218877US2PCT	2566
	7590 05/02/200 AK, MCCLELLAND,	· EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE S7	TREET	WANG, QUAN ZHEN		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2613		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	05/02/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action						
Before	the	Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief	

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/049,613	KATAYAMA, MASATOSHI
Examiner	Art Unit
Quan-Zhen Wang	2613

g pp	Cammer	Artonic			
	Quan-Zhen Wang	2613	l		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 09 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba īdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since		
AMENDMENTS The present amendment(s) filed offer a final reliable.	hus mula sa sha dasa af filina a buisf	بالمسمدين وبالمسالين			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.		
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. Other:					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/049,613

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The amended claims 1 and 7-9 contains newly added limitations of "wherein the subscriber unit with the video receiver is configured to separate the video signal from the multiplexed signal received at the wavelength division multiplexer/demultiplexer and to input the video signal to the video receiver, and wherein the subscriber unit without the video receiver is configured to separate the video signal from the multiplexed signal received at the wavelength division multiplexer/demultiplexer, to remove the video signal without termination, to separate the signals other than the video signal, and to input the signal other than the video signal to a transmitting and receiving section." The amendment further includes newly added claims 10-13. The newly added limitations in claims 1 and 7-9 and newly added claims 10-13 have changed the scope of the claims and would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The arguments are directed to the claims as amended and are moot in view of the non-entry of these amendments.

JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

2